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1. Introduction

Global illumination is a collection of algorithms in computer graphics that are employed to mimic realistic lighting in 3D scenes. The complexity of these algorithms depends on the indirect illumination, which does not directly come from the light sources (direct illumination), but from reflections on other surfaces at the scene. Computing reflections, refractions, and shadows are important tasks for computing the global illumination of a given scene.

In photorealistic rendering, a set of techniques are used to create an image from a 3D scene that approximates a photograph [1], thus enabling the visualization of the global illumination of the underlying 3D scene. Path tracing is an algorithm contained in this set. Informally, it consists of assigning a color for each point (eye) and direction (pixel) by launching paths of rays through the scene based on reflections, refractions, and shadows. To write a path tracer it is common to simulate cameras, ray–surface intersections, light sources, visibility, surface material, indirect light transport, and ray propagation.

The above concepts used in illumination of Euclidean spaces can be extended to Non-Euclidean spaces. These spaces were developed negating Euclid’s fifth postulate, so they do not exist in the real world. No real camera can take a picture in such spaces, which makes exploring photorealistic rendering of them very challenging. Attempts to visualize Non-Euclidean geometries produced interesting images with no counterpart in the real world; much of this is due to the nontrivial topology/geometry of such spaces. As we will discuss in Section 2, some previous works on ray tracing proposed to visualize these landscapes, but only simple scenes composed with Lambertian surfaces have been used; no indirect light transport considered (Fig. 1).

We take the challenge of computing the global illumination in Non-Euclidean spaces using a path tracer algorithm. In such spaces, the concept of photorealistic rendering is not well defined because there is no real camera there. However, the possibility of defining a global illumination equation in Non-Euclidean geometry opens the door for a path tracing generalization. Then, our challenge is to sample ray paths in Non-Euclidean spaces, where the path segments are nonlinear and the metric is more general than in rendering techniques developed in Euclidean spaces.

The contributions of our work are:

- Introduction of the Riemannian global illumination concept in Riemannian manifolds through a generalization of the inner product term of the rendering equation by the underlying Riemannian metric;
- A path tracer algorithm to approximate the solution of the Riemannian global illumination in spaces modeled by Thurston geometries;
- A pseudo-code and implementation of the algorithm on GPU using RTX. The implementation considered only spaces modeled by the Thurston classical geometries;
- We apply the Non-Euclidean path tracer to render “photorealistic” inside views of the 3D flat torus, Poincaré sphere, and the hyperbolic mirrored dodecahedron. These are examples of
we cast rays in the flat domain first, using RTX. If no scene intersection is found, their positions/directions are updated using the spherical transformations associated with the dodecahedron faces. Therefore, a ray interacts with the fundamental domain using its spherical form until the last interaction. Then, RTX detects the intersection with the scene using a straight ray. This is a good approximation because the fundamental domain of the Poincaré sphere is very small, as we will see in Section 6.2.

1.1. Structure of the paper

The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 reviews previous and related works; Section 3 introduces concepts from Non-Euclidean geometry; Section 4 presents the possibilities of photorealistic immersive visualization of 3-manifolds and introduces Riemannian illumination; Section 5 introduces the path tracing algorithm to compute the illumination of a given space; Section 6 presents photorealistic inside views of the flat torus, the Poincaré sphere, and the hyperbolic space using the path tracing; finally, Section 7 provides concluding remarks.

2. Related works

Ray tracing in curved spaces is an ancient topic in computer graphics. Bar [3] used a nonlinear approach to ray trace smooth surfaces efficiently. The surfaces are considered to be bent flat planes, which are generated by a deformation of the space. Such deformation corresponds to a coordinate system, where the intersection problem considers the surface being flat, and the rays being curved.

The main effort for visualization of Non-Euclidean spaces took place at the Geometry Center from 1994 to 1998. This initiative, under the leadership of William Thurston, resulted in a scientific program to study and disseminate modern geometry using interactive visualization. A platform called Geomview [4] was developed based on OpenGL for interactive viewing in Euclidean, spherical and hyperbolic spaces. Weeks [5] proposed real-time visualization of these classical geometries, and in [6], he extended it to the product geometries.

Researchers at the Geometry Center used Virtual Reality for providing insights of curved spaces. They created simple VR installations to allow the user not only to have a glimpse at the visual landscape inside a 3-manifold, but also to experience the sensation of being immersed in such an environment. Two of their projects are Mathenautics [7] and Alice [8]. Recently, Hart et al. [9] presented a more sophisticated immersive exploration of such curved spaces using VR, and Weeks [10,11] improved it by presenting a framework that allows game development.

The early work on the visualization of Non-Euclidean spaces, reported above, was based on the traditional OpenGL rasterization pipeline [5]. Therefore, the rendering algorithms employed a scene-based architecture. There are two problems with such an approach. First, the scene must be replicated to “unroll” spaces with complicated topology. Second, to rasterize a scene it is necessary to project objects in the scene based on camera position. This is a hard problem in manifolds with nontrivial geometry, where classic perspective projection cannot be applied. The ray tracing allows us to overcome such difficulties operating intrinsically in the manifold geometry and topology.

The first work to propose an Image-Based architecture for visualization of Non-Euclidean spaces was from Berger et al. [12]. Their rendering algorithm exploited programmable compute shaders and CUDA to implement ray tracing on the GPU. This was restricted to Euclidean/hyperbolic geometries. Rays in such spaces are modeled by straight lines which allow the use of classical algorithms from computational geometry.
Recently, a framework [13–16], implemented on top of Nvidia RTX GPUs, was introduced for real-time immersive and interactive visualization of Non-Euclidean spaces: Euclidean, Hyperbolic, Spherical, Nil, Sol, and $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ geometries; the six more interesting Thurston geometries. They introduced a novel ray tracing model for Riemannian manifolds focusing primarily on the topology of the underlying manifolds rather than the geometry. In [17], they designed and visualized Riemannian metrics in the space $\mathbb{E}^3$. Other attempts to visualize and explore Non-Euclidean geometries are [18–20].

All the aforementioned approaches adopt only a local illumination model for shading. As a consequence, this prevents the use of global ray tracing effects such as reflection and refraction. This work introduces the Riemannian global illumination and presents a novel path tracing to synthesize “photorealistic” inside views of Non-Euclidean spaces. Unfortunately, the computational performance is not interactive yet, which prevents the use of these effects in VR.

Other related works can be found in visualization of physics. Stam and Languenou [21] presented a ray tracing algorithm to render mirages and other optical effects such as “Fata Morgana”, caused by perturbations in the participating media, thus integrating this phenomenon in the basic equations from geometrical optics that govern the propagation of rays in a medium varying its index of refraction continuously. The curvature of the rays depends on the air’s index of refraction. Nonlinear ray tracing [22] was also considered in visualizing relativistic effects, the geometric behavior of nonlinear dynamical systems, and the movement of charged particles in a force field (e.g., electron movement).

3. Non-Euclidean spaces

In dealing with path tracing algorithms we need the following requirements: (1) The space must be locally similar to a Euclidean space — a manifold. This allows us to model the camera and the scene objects; (2) For each point $p$ we need tangent vectors pointing in all directions. The inner product between two tangent vectors is required to simulate light effects; (3) For a point $p$ and a vector $v$ tangent at $p$, we need a ray, and its intersections with the scene objects.

Geometric manifolds satisfies the above properties. Such objects are locally-geometrically similar to special spaces called model geometries. In dimension two, for example, there are exactly three models: Euclidean, hyperbolic, and spherical spaces. In dimension three, there are five more model geometries, however, in this work, we focus on the three classical spaces. We describe these topics in detail at the end of this section. Great texts on this subject are Thurston [23], Martelli [24], and Scott [25].

A 3-manifold $M$ is a topological space locally identical to the Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^3$. Specifically, there is a neighborhood of every point in $M$ mapped homeomorphically to $\mathbb{E}^3$. These maps are called charts, and provide coordinate systems for the point neighborhoods. The change of charts between two neighborhoods in $M$ must be differentiable. Examples of 3-manifolds include the Euclidean, hyperbolic, and spherical spaces.

Inner product and rays are important concepts when working with path tracing, since light travels along with rays and spreads in the surfaces through the inner product. Riemannian metric and geodesics are extensions of these concepts to manifolds.

A Riemannian metric is a smooth map $g$ assigning to each point $p$ of a 3-manifold $M$ an inner product $g_p(\cdot, \cdot)$ on the tangent space $T_pM$. Let $p \in M$, and $u, v$ be tangent vectors of $T_pM$. Expressing $u$ and $v$ in terms of the basis associated to a chart $x(x_1, x_2, x_3)$, that is, $u = \sum u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(p)$ and $v = \sum v_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(p)$, we obtain the inner product $\langle u, v \rangle_p = \sum u_i v_j g_{ij}(p)$. The metric $g$ on $M$ is determined by the symmetric positive definite matrix $[g_{ij}] := [g_p(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j})]$. A Riemannian manifold is a pair $(M, g)$, where $M$ is a manifold and $g$ is a Riemannian metric on $M$.

The metric $g$ provides the length of vectors and consequently the distances between points in $M$. A geodesic (ray) $\gamma(t)$ leaving a point $p$ in $M$ and tangent to the direction $v$ is a curve locally minimizing length (Fig. 3).

As this work focuses on Euclidean, spherical, and hyperbolic geometries, we only detail their geodesic formulas (definitions below). For the precise definition of geodesics in Riemannian manifolds see Carmon [26], and Novello et al. [16] for a presentation of this concept in the ray tracing context.

We remember an algebraic (very computational) way to construct manifolds from simple ones. Let $M$ be a connected manifold and $\Gamma$ be a discrete group of isometries acting on $M$, the quotient $M/\Gamma$ is the set $\{\Gamma \cdot p | p \in M \}$. For example, the quotient of $\mathbb{E}^3$ by the group of translations gives rise to the flat torus $T^3$. A ray $r$ leaving a point $p \in T^2$ in a direction $v$ is described by considering the fractional part of the coordinates of $r(t) = p + t \cdot v$. The quotient $M/\Gamma$ of a discrete group $\Gamma$ could be a non-manifold. In this case, $M/\Gamma$ is called an orbifold. For an example, consider the group spanned by the reflections of a cube inside the Euclidean space.

Interesting cases arise when $M$ is a geometry model. In this paper, we consider the classical examples of geometry models, the Euclidean, hyperbolic, and spherical. The space $M/\Gamma$ inherits the geometric structure of $M$. For example, $T^3$ has a geometric structure modeled by $\mathbb{E}^3$. The fundamental domain $\Delta$ plays an important role in the above construction being the region of $M$ containing exactly one point for each orbit. The unit cube is the fundamental domain of $T^3$.

In this paper, we will give examples of the global illumination of the classical Thurston geometries. For this reason, we will give the details of the Euclidean, spherical, and hyperbolic spaces. Section 6 will present examples and visualizations.

**Definition 3.1** (Euclidean space). The Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^3$ is the three-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{R}^3$ endowed with the classical inner product $\langle u, v \rangle = u_1v_1 + u_2v_2 + u_3v_3$ where $u$ and $v$ are vectors in $\mathbb{E}^3$. The distance between two points $p$ and $q$ is $d_\mathbb{E}(p, q) = \sqrt{|p - q|^2}$. The curve $\gamma(t) = p + tv$ describes a ray leaving a point $p$ in a direction $v$. Analogously, for any $n > 0$ the Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^n$ is constructed.

**Definition 3.2** (Elliptic Space). The 3-sphere $S^3$ is the set $\{p \in \mathbb{E}^4 | \langle p, p \rangle = 1 \}$ endowed with the metric $d_{S^3}(p, q) = \cos^{-1}(\langle p, q \rangle)$. A tangent vector $v$ to a point in $S^3$ satisfies $\langle p, v \rangle = 0$, then the tangent space $T_pS^3 = \{v \in \mathbb{E}^4 | \langle p, v \rangle = 0 \}$. The space $T_pS^3$ inherits...
the Euclidean inner product of $\mathbb{R}^4$. A ray in $S^3$ passing through a point $p$ in a unit direction $v$ is the intersection between $S^3$ and the plane spanned by $v$, $p$, and the origin. Such ray can be parameterized as $r(t) = \cos(t)p + \sin(t)v$.

**Definition 3.3** (Hyperbolic space). The Lorentzian space is $\mathbb{R}^4$ endowed with the Lorentzian product $(u, v)_H = u_1v_1 + u_2v_2 + u_3v_3 - u_4v_4$, where $u, v$ are vectors in $\mathbb{R}^4$. The hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^3$ is the hyperboloid $\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid (p, p)_H = -1 \}$ endowed with the special metric $d_H(p, q) = \cosh^{-1}(-((p, q)_H))$.

A tangent vector $v$ to a point $p$ in $\mathbb{H}^3$ satisfies $(p, v)_H = 0$, then the tangent space $T_p\mathbb{H}^3 = \{ v \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid (p, v)_H = 0 \}$. Rays in $\mathbb{H}^3$ are the intersections between $S^3$ and the planes in $\mathbb{R}^4$ containing the origin. For instance, the ray leaving a point $p \in \mathbb{H}^3$ in a unit tangent direction $v$ is the intersection between $\mathbb{H}^3$ and the plane spanned by the vectors $v$ and $p$ in $\mathbb{E}^4$. Such ray can be parameterized as $r(t) = \cos(t)p + \sin(t)v$.

$\mathbb{H}^3$ contains no straight line, thus its rays cannot be straight. However, we can model $\mathbb{H}^3$ in the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^3$ — known as *Klein model* $\mathbb{E}(1)$ — such that the rays are straight. Specifically, each point $p \in \mathbb{H}^3$ is projected in $\{ (x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid w = 1 \}$ by considering $p/pw$. We use this model to visualize the hyperbolic mirrored dodecahedron in Section 6.3.

In this work, we use the Nvidia RTX platform to visualize spaces modeled by the above geometries, however, RTX only supports ray tracing using straight lines. This is well suitable to cast rays in Euclidean and hyperbolic geometries since we have Klein’s model for the hyperbolic case. However, there is no flat model to represent the spherical geometry. To render inside views of spaces modeled with the spherical geometry, we use an alternative approach. This consists of casting a ray using RTX first. If no intersection is found, we update the ray position and direction using the precise spherical setting. Then we repeat the procedure. To set the scene and alternate between the spherical and Euclidean settings, we use a parameterization of the spherical fundamental domain.

### 4. Illumination of non-Euclidean spaces

This section focuses on extending the global illumination equations to a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, the next section presents a path tracer algorithm for the class of geometric manifolds. We consider that light propagates along with rays in $(M, g)$, and that it is constant in a vacuum. We use the *Riemannian ray tracing* model developed in [16] to define the global illumination of Riemannian manifolds.

Inside views of a scene in $M$ can be rendered by tracing rays. Let $p$ be a point (the eye) in $M$, and $V_p$ be the set of direction within the observer’s field of view (the view frustum). For each pixel in the image, we trace a ray towards the associated direction. Specifically, $p$ is the origin of the tangent space $T_pM$, we attribute a RGB color to each direction $v \in V_p$. This color is computed using *Riemannian illumination* through the *direct* and *indirect* light contributions. The direct illumination considers the rays coming directly from light sources and the indirect gathers the light rays bounced by other surfaces. *Fig. 4* gives a schematic view of this procedure in dimension 2.

**Illumination** is the process of simulating the light leaving a point in a given direction. Techniques developed in Euclidean spaces to perform such task are not suited for Riemannian manifolds, so we propose a more general definition.

Let $C$ be the space of RGB radiant energy. The *Riemannian illumination* of a 3-manifold $M$, with a scene embedded on it, is a function $L : M \times S^2 \to C$, where for each point $p \in M$ and unit tangent direction $v \in T_pM$ we have a color $L(p, v)$ representing the light leaving this direction. Because we are considering the light constant along geodesics, the function $L$ only needs to be computed on the scene surface objects.

Let $q$ be a point in an embedded surface $S \subset M$. The *Riemannian illumination* of $q$ comes from direct geodesics connecting $q$ to the light sources and indirect geodesics connecting other Riemannian-illuminated points to $q$. The *radiant intensity* at $q$ can be modeled using the *Lambertian reflectance*, which depends on the inner product, or more generally from a BRDF. Thus, it is natural to adapt this model to the Riemannian geometry by replacing the standard inner product with the Riemannian metric of the underlying 3-manifold.

#### 4.1. Global illumination in non-Euclidean spaces

Kajiya introduced the “Rendering Equation” [27], the classical *global illumination function* of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^3$. For each point $p$, it computes the amount of light emitted in a given direction $v$. This is modeled through the integral equation over the unit hemisphere $\Omega(p) = \{ v \in S^2 \mid (v, N(p))_E \geq 0 \}$:

$$L(p, v) = L_r(p, v) + \int_{\Omega(p)} f_s(p, v, w_l) L_r(p, w_l)(w_l, N)_E dw_l. \quad (1)$$

The *bidirectional reflectance distribution function* (BRDF) $f_s(p, v, w_l)$ defines how the light reflects at $p$, and $L_r(p, v)$ is the light emitted at $p$ in the direction $v$.

Eq. (1) integrates over all directions entering the hemisphere $\Omega$. Replacing the Euclidean metric $(\cdot, \cdot)_E$ with the Riemannian metric $(\cdot, \cdot)_g$, we obtain a global illumination function for a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$,

$$L(p, v) = L_r(p, v) + \int_{\Omega(p)} f_s(p, v, w_l) L_r(p, w_l)g_{\beta}(p, N) dw_l. \quad (2)$$

The term $g_{\beta}$ in the equation is the Riemannian metric $g$ evaluated at the point $p$. Specifically, $g_{\beta}$ is an inner product at $T_pM$, that is, a bilinear positive definite form. Such objects can be expressed as $3 \times 3$ symmetric positive definite matrices.

Taking into account the importance contribution of the directions $w_l$ leaving the point $p$, we divide Eq. (2) into the emitted, the direct and indirect components $L(p, v) = L_r(p, v) + L_{diff}(p, v) + L_{ind}(p, v)$, where $L_r(p, v)$ is the light emitted from the surface, $L_{diff}(p, v)$ is the direct contribution coming from the light sources, and $L_{ind}(p, v)$ is indirect contribution reflected by other surfaces.

For computing the direct illumination, we evaluate the surface BRDF $f_s$ using unit tangent vectors. Then, perform the next event estimation, i.e. find a direction towards a light source with appropriate importance sampling. Finally, compute form factors, i.e.
5. Path tracing in non-Euclidean spaces

We combine Riemannian ray casting and Riemannian global illumination to synthesize inside views of Non-Euclidean spaces. This generalizes the classical path tracing. We focus on a geometric manifold $M/\Gamma$ since it admits a well-behaved geometry $M$ and a combinatorial description of its topology in terms of $\Gamma$.

Our method approximates the Riemannian global illumination of the visible surfaces inside a geometric manifold using the ray tracing capabilities of the RTX platform. We will discuss first the basic principles of path tracing in Non-Euclidean spaces, as well as, the general algorithm in CPU. We also show and detail how to implement the computation in GPU using the RTX pipeline.

5.1. Overview of the method

Path tracing is the most popular technique to produce photo-realistic images of Euclidean scenes. Thus, extending the technique to Non-Euclidean spaces would enable photo-realism inside such abstract spaces. This task requires knowledge about the geometry/topology of the Non-Euclidean space. The first step is to simulate the ray path as it travels inside the space, starting from the point of observation until it intersects with a visible object — the Riemannian ray casting. The second step amounts to the illumination, evaluating the light scattered from the environment in the ray direction — the Riemannian global illumination. Because of the non-trivial topology, the ray path is updated as it exits the fundamental domain.

In a nutshell, the method solves the following two problems:

1. How to compute geodesics in the space, because light or rays follow geodesics;
2. How do the light-material interaction formulas change because of the geometry.

5.2. Algorithm in CPU

We present the basic path tracing algorithm for a geometric manifold $M/\Gamma$, and compare it with the traditional path tracing of Euclidean space.

We start with an algorithm to trace rays inside $M/\Gamma$. Let $p$ be a point inside the fundamental domain $\Delta$ of $M/\Gamma$, and $v$ be a direction at $T_pM$, Algorithm 1 traces a ray $\gamma$ from $p$ towards the direction $v$. If $\gamma$ intersects a scene object in $\Delta$, the point and direction are updated.

### Algorithm 1: Trace ray.

**Data:** point $p$, direction $v$

**Result:** bool hit

1. Trace a ray $\gamma$ from $(p, v)$ inside $\Delta$;
2. repeat
3. Find closest intersection $\gamma(t)$ with objects $O$ in $\Delta$;
4. if $\gamma(t) \neq \emptyset$ then
5. Update $p = \gamma(t)$ and $v = \gamma'(t)$;
6. return true;
7. else
8. Find the intersection of $\gamma$ with faces of $\Delta$;
9. Compute the new origin $p'$ and ray $\gamma'$;
10. $++i$;
11. end
12. until $i < \text{maxlevel}$;
13. return false;

As it can be verified in Algorithm 1, the rays are intersected with visible objects (line 3) and if there is a hit (line 4), the ray point and the direction is updated (line 5). The whole computation has the fundamental domain as a base, which is modeled by a polyhedron $\Delta$. Therefore, as the ray hits a face $F$ of $\Delta$ (line 7), we transport it using the corresponding transformation of the discrete group $\Gamma$ (line 8–9). This is the most important step because it depends on the geometry and topology of the space. As such, it is specific for each type of space. For practical computational reasons, we cannot continue the ray indefinitely, thus a maximum level is set to stop the path (line 12).

Algorithm 2 describes the path tracer. The rays are generated from the observer’s point of view (lines 1–2). Using Algorithm 1 the visible points are computed and if there is a hit (line 3), shading is done using direct and indirect illumination (line 4–5). Note that Algorithm 2 computes the Riemannian ray casting. To compute a good approximation of the image, it is common to accumulate the resulting image of Algorithm 2 and line 2 chooses the direction associated with the pixel using a distribution density function.

To add the shadows we need to cast an additional ray to evaluate the visibility between the sampled point and the current shading point.

Sampling the light sources turns out to be an interesting problem when rendering inside views of geometric spaces. In this work, we adopt a strategy to randomly select an element in the set of light sources contained in the $n$th neighborhood of the fundamental domain. Specifically, we apply the underlying discrete group on the light sources $n$ times, each iteration adds a new neighborhood. For the spherical case, where the covering space is compact, we only need to repeat this procedure a finite number of times. For infinite spaces, we consider an approximation. This feature can be exploited in importance sampling because we can prioritize, with a probability distribution, the closest light sources. In the examples we are using, we consider the first neighborhood of copies of the
We study the parameterization of polyhedrons on the fundamental domain, that is \( n = 1 \). Then, we sample one element in the resulting set of light sources to launch the shadow ray.

For now, we are considering straight lines to trace shadow rays. This works very well in the Euclidean and hyperbolic geometries because in these geometries the rays can be modeled as straight lines. We lose some accuracy in the spherical case where the rays are big circles. In future works, we intend to explore ways to minimize this loss.

The indirect illumination is given in Algorithm 3. An integer variable \( \text{depth} \) is defined to control the number of ray light bounces of the path tracer. If \( \text{depth} \) is greater than zero and the ray launched from \( p \) towards \( v \) intersects the scene (line 1), we sample a hemisphere direction and compute an approximation of the Riemannian global illumination (lines 2–3). Clearly, in line 3, Algorithm 3 is called again. This is a result of Eq. (3), considering only one sample. Algorithm 3 could be replaced by a termination strategy using Russian Roulette, probably resulting in an unbiased estimator. Nonetheless, the validity of this in Non-Euclidean space must be theoretically verified.

5.3. GPU implementation

The implementation of our visualization platform in GPU is built on top of Falcor [28] using DirectX 12 on Windows 10. The Falcor development framework consists of a library with support for DXR at a high level and a built-in scene description format. We use the software Blender to create the scene objects.

The core functionality of our system’s architecture consists of a set of shaders implemented for use in the RTX GPU pipeline. We developed generic shaders for each stage of the GPU path tracing pipeline that are independent of the geometric structure of the Non-Euclidean space.

Ray Generation Shader: Creates camera rays using the isometries of the space to transform the ray origin and direction to the camera coordinate system.

Intersection Shader: Computes the ray-object intersection using a parameterization of the ray. Ray and objects are defined based on the model Geometry.

Closest Hit Shader: Performs the shading operation. This includes computing local and global illumination. The local illumination amounts to the direct contribution of light sources that are based on angles between the light direction and the surface normal, as well as, the distance to the light. The global illumination is computed by launching indirect rays based on the surface BRDF.

Miss Shader: Deals with the transport of rays in the covering space, as they leave the fundamental domain of the manifold. For this, the rays are tested for intersection with the boundary of the polyhedron \( \Delta \).

5.4. Implementation details: RTX pipeline

Nvidia RTX is a hardware/software platform with support for real-time ray tracing. The ray tracing code of an application using this architecture consists of CPU host code, GPU device code, and the memory to transfer data to the Acceleration Structures for fast geometry culling when intersecting rays with scene objects. Specifically, the CPU host code manages the memory flow between devices, sets up controls, and spawns GPU shaders and defines the Acceleration Structures.

These shaders correspond to tasks in Algorithms 1, 2, and 3. The Ray Generation Shader is responsible for creating the rays (line 1 in Algorithm 2), which are defined by their origins and directions. A call to the RTX intrinsic TraceRay() launches a ray.

The next stage is a fixed traversal of the Acceleration Structure, done by the RTX runtime. This uses an Intersection Shader to compute the intersections (line 3 in Algorithm 1). All hits found pass by tests to verify if they are the closest hit. We use the built-in triangle intersection shader. After no additional hits are found, the Closest-Hit Shader is called for the closest intersection point (line 3 in Algorithm 1). In case no hits are found, the Miss Shader is called as a fallback case. Note that additional rays can be launched in the Closest-Hit/Miss shaders.

The scene objects and the boundary of the fundamental domain are treated differently when mapping the algorithm to the RTX pipeline — while the scene objects are tested and shaded in the regular way (lines 5 and 6 in Algorithm 1), the boundary of the fundamental domain is used to transport the rays by the discrete group (lines 8 and 9). This is implemented with a custom designed Miss Shader.

6. Examples and results

When we trace a ray inside a geometric manifold the underlying discrete group makes the ray iterate. If it exits the fundamental domain, it is updated by the corresponding element of the discrete group to reenter the domain. This procedure produces the illusion of a tessellation of the underlying geometry by copies of the fundamental domain. In other words, the scene is replicated following the rules codified in the discrete group.

This section presents output images from our implementation of the algorithm in GPU using RTX. The images are “photorealistic” inside views of some spaces with their geometries modeled by Euclidean, spherical, and hyperbolic space: the classical geometries detailed in Section 3.

We give an empirical discussion of the examples below. Euclidean geometry \( \mathbb{E}^3 \) models the flat torus, its visualization shows copies of the scene tessellating \( \mathbb{E}^3 \) by translations. The reflection equation is not affected by the metric. However, in the visualization of the Poincaré sphere, the equation is strongly affected by the spherical metric. In this metric, increasing the distance from the observer, the scene’s size first decreases and then increases. This is because triangles in this geometry are fat. On the other hand, the triangles in the hyperbolic geometry are thin. Our hyperbolic example is an orbifold, the mirrored dodecahedron. In the hyperbolic metric, as the discrete group replicates the scene, its size decreases rapidly as the distance from the observer increases. In [29], Weeks presents intuitive and empirical schemes on how to understand these geometries.

6.1. Flat torus

Probably the most famous and easiest example of a compact 3-manifold is the flat torus \( \mathbb{T}^3 \). Topologically, it is obtained by gluing opposite faces of the unit cube \([0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{E}^3\). It is easy
to check that the neighborhood of each point in $\mathbb{S}^2$ is a 3-ball of the Euclidean space. Thus $\mathbb{S}^3$ is indeed a 3-manifold.

$\mathbb{S}^3$ is modeled by $\mathbb{R}^3$ because it is the quotient of the Euclidean space by the group of translation spanned by $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (x \pm 1, y, z), (x, y, z) \rightarrow (x, y \pm 1, z)$, and $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (x, y, z \pm 1)$. Thus, the unit cube is the fundamental domain of $\mathbb{S}^3$.

Fig. 5 presents the visualization of a scene inside the fundamental domain using the path tracing algorithm. On the left, we do not use the indirect light contribution, on the right we add the indirect light contribution considering five bounces. Note that we are using a Cornell Box with an additional window to set up our scene. The spheres inside the cube have specular, diffuse, and composed materials. The only light source is above the box, close to the top window.

We now identify the faces of the torus fundamental domain (the cube). A ray leaving a point $p \in \mathbb{S}^3$ in a direction $n$ is parameterized as $r(t) = p + t \cdot v$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. For each intersection between $r$ and a face $F$ of the unit cube, we update $p$ by its correspondent point $p - n$ in the opposite face, where $n$ is the unit vector normal to $F$. The ray direction $v$ does not need to be updated. The rays in $\mathbb{S}^3$ can return to the starting point, providing copies of the scene. The inside perception is $\mathbb{R}^3$ tessellated by cubes: each cube contains one copy of the scene.

Fig. 6 provides an immersive visualization of the 3-dimensional torus $\mathbb{S}^3$ using the path tracer algorithm. On its top, an outside view of the embedded Cornell Box showing how the fundamental domain tessellates the space. On its middle, a closer view on the specular spheres embedded in the scene to show the tessellation being reflected on their surface. On its bottom, the Cornell Box is removed.

Fig. 7 illustrates the interactive interface of the rendering program with a panel to control the various parameters of the algorithm. Now, the scene is composed of two spheres and a cow model. Although we are using path tracing, we use only one sample when interactively exploring the scene and setting parameters up. The resulting noisy image is improved when the camera is not moving by an accumulation step incorporated in the rendering graph.

6.2. Poincaré sphere

If the opposite faces of a dodecahedron are identified by a clockwise rotation of $\pi/5$, we get the Poincaré dodecahedral space. This manifold is also known as the Poincaré homological sphere since its first homological group is trivial.

The face pairing forces many identifications and the edges are grouped into ten groups of three edges. To model the geometry of such space the dihedral angle of the dodecahedron must be 120. This is not possible to model with Euclidean geometry, but can be done with spherical geometry. To find the required dodecahedron we consider it embedded in the 3-sphere. If the dodecahedron is very small its dihedral angle approximates to the Euclidean dodecahedron. Then, with an appropriate scale, the dodecahedron dihedral angle is equal to 120 degrees.

We adapt Algorithm 1 to trace rays inside Poincaré sphere using RTX. Observe that the RTX is only used in lines 1 and 3. The remaining steps can be performed using the spherical setting. We use a parameterization of the fundamental domain to alternate between the Euclidean and the spherical settings.

The fundamental domain $D_0$ of the Poincaré sphere can be parameterized by a flat dodecahedron $D$ centered at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^3$ using the map $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (x, y, z, 1)/[(x, y, z, 1)]$. To move points and directions between the settings, just apply the parameterization to the points and its differential to the directions.

To compute the intersections between spherical rays and the fundamental domain faces (used in lines 8–9 of Alg. 1), we observe that faces of $D_0$ are contained in unit 2-spheres. A sphere of this set is written as $\{p \in \mathbb{S}^2\langle p, n \rangle_\mathbb{E} = 0\}$, where $n \in \mathbb{S}^2$ is the suspension of the normal vector of a flat dodecahedron face. Then the intersections between a 2-sphere and a ray $r(t) = \cos t \cdot p + \sin t \cdot v$ leaving $p \in \mathbb{S}^3$ towards $v \in T_p \mathbb{S}^3$, are given by the solutions of $\langle \cos t \cdot p + \sin t \cdot v, n \rangle_\mathbb{E} = 0$, which is equivalent to $\tan t = -(p, n)_\mathbb{E}/(v, n)_\mathbb{E}$.
If a ray intersects a face of the dodecahedron we update its position and direction using the face transformation in the spherical setting. The immersive visualization of the Poincaré dodecahedral space is a tessellation of $S^3$ by 120 dodecahedra. This is one of the 4-dimensional polytopes, known as 120-cell and shown in Fig. 8 using global illumination.

On the top, the scene is composed of a single cow, a pentagon floor, four spheres (specular red, totally specular, and two diffuses: yellow and purple). We also include the edges of the fundamental domain of the Poincaré sphere. These edges are brown and show the space structure. They are computed analytically using the spherical setting without using the RTX. The face identifications produce a tessellation of the 3-sphere. Looking towards a dodecahedron's face we see copies of the scene rotated by $\pi/5$. Note that as the distance increases, the scene's size first decreases and then begins to increase: there is a large cow upside down in the background. In the middle, we increase the specularity of the pentagon floor and replace the scene by a single specular sphere and a single monkey's head, the Suzanne classical Blender mesh. Again, as the distance increases, Suzanne's size first decreases and then increases. The dodecahedron's edges are removed. On the bottom, a specular sphere and a diffuse ellipsoid with its
axis aligned with a geodesic of the underlying manifold. The face gluing makes copies of the ellipsoid which are distributed along the geodesic in the Poincaré sphere. The specular sphere illustrates the perfect reflection of the space.

6.3. Mirrored dodecahedron

For an example of a Non-Euclidean space modeled by the hyperbolic geometry, consider the dodecahedron embedded in the Klein’s model $\mathbb{H}^3$ of the hyperbolic space. Let $\Gamma$ be the group of hyperbolic reflections generated by the dodecahedral faces. With an appropriate scale, the dihedral angle of the dodecahedron reaches 90 degrees. The quotient $\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ is an orbifold, denominated mirrored dodecahedral space. The discrete group $\Gamma$ tessellates $\mathbb{H}^3$ with dodecahedra with right dihedral angle, thus each edge is adjacent to exactly 4 cells.

As the rays are straight in the Klein’s model $\mathbb{H}^3$, we can use RTX to launch them without difficulty. Each time a ray intersects a dodecahedron face $F$ at a point $p$, we update the ray direction $\nu$ using its hyperbolic reflection $\nu - 2n(n, n)/\langle n, n \rangle$. where the vector $\nu$ is presented in homogeneous coordinates, and $n$ is the homogeneous coordinates of the plane containing the dodecahedron face $F$. For more details on hyperbolic transformations see Phillips and Gunn’s paper [30]. Observe that when tracing rays in the hyperbolic mirrored dodecahedron, we only have to replace the Euclidean inner product by the hyperbolic inner product.

Fig. 9 illustrates immersive visualizations of the hyperbolic mirrored dodecahedron. On the top, the scene is composed of exactly three spheres, two red and one blue. We add the fundamental domain edges to highlight the hyperbolic space tessellated by dodecahedra. The image is the inside view of the group of reflection $\Gamma$ acting on the Hyperbolic space. In the middle, we maintain the dodecahedron edges and replace the scene by another a little bit more complex — similar to the one used in Fig. 8 (middle). On the bottom, we remove the dodecahedron edges.

7. Conclusions and future works

We presented photorealistic inside views of the three-dimensional flat torus, the Poincaré sphere, and the mirrored
hyperbolic dodecahedron using a novel path tracing algorithm. Previous works were restricted to simple rendering techniques using Lambertian surfaces.

The algorithm was based on Falcor, NVIDIA’s scientific prototyping framework, which uses the power of the new generation of RTX GPUs.

A probability distribution was used to sample the directions in the superior hemisphere of each shaded point. In future works, we intend to explore other materials and more complex BSDFs.

To improve the path tracing efficiency, it is common to use next-event estimations, for example, the shadow rays. In this work, we have chosen an approach based on two considerations. First, we used a linear approximation of shadow rays, which works well on Euclidean and hyperbolic geometries, but needs to be improved in the Spherical case. Second, we considered the first neighborhood of the replicated scenes to sample the soft edges in the direct illumination. In future works, we intend to improve these tasks which becomes difficult depending on the geometry considered.

Another interesting future work is the application of our path tracing to other geometries. Interesting cases include the other Thurston geometries: $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}$, Nil, Sol, and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_2(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, the case of Nil geometry is attractive because its rays have a closed expression in terms of elementary functions.

One limitation of our work is that, because of the computational cost of path tracing, we cannot view these spaces in real-time. For now, we are using an accumulation step in the rendering graph, then we can move the camera inside the scene when using a few bounces to find a good position. We believe that the use of neural networks could help us in such a task.

An interesting problem and another path for future work is to adapt the algorithm for VR. Immersive photorealistic VR can be a great tool for visualizing such spaces. However, the camera is never truly static in this context, which renders the accumulation strategy useless.
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